



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CL  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/775,165	02/11/2004	Jian Ni	PF357C2	4017
22195	7590	07/27/2006	EXAMINER	
HUMAN GENOME SCIENCES INC. 14200 SHADY GROVE ROAD ROCKVILLE, MD 20850			HAMUD, FOZIA M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1647	/

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/775,165	NI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Fozia M. Hamud	1647	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 February 2004.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**.                                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) 1-24 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Election/Restrictions***

1. Restriction to one of the following is required under 35 U.S.C. §121:
  - I. Claims 1-18, 23 and 24, drawn to an isolated polynucleotide, a vector comprising said polynucleotide, a host cell comprising said vector and a method of producing the encoded polypeptide, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1.
  - II. Claims 19-21, drawn to an isolated polypeptide, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
  - III. Claim 22, drawn to antibodies that bind to a specific polypeptide, classified in class 530, subclass 389.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The polypeptide of Group II and nucleic acid of Group I are patentably distinct inventions for the following reasons. Polypeptides, which are composed of amino acids, and nucleic acids, which are composed of purine and pyrimidine units, are structurally distinct molecules; any relationship between a nucleic acid and polypeptide is dependent upon the information provided by the nucleic acid sequence open reading frame as it corresponds to the primary amino acid sequence of the encoded polypeptide. In the present claims, a nucleic acid of Group II does not necessarily encode a polypeptide of Group I. For example, the information provided by the nucleic acid of Group I, can be used to make a materially different polypeptide than that of Group II. In addition, the nucleic acid claims include nucleotides having 95% identity to

the sequence identified. This search requires an extensive analysis of the art retrieved in a sequence search and will require an in-depth analysis of technical literature. The scope of nucleic acids as claimed extend beyond the nucleic acid that encodes the claimed polypeptides as explained above. As such, it would be burdensome to search the inventions of Groups I and II together. In the instant case, the search of the polypeptides and the nucleic acids are not coextensive. The inventions of Groups I and II have a separate status in the art as shown by their different classifications. In cases such as this one where descriptive sequence information is provided, the sequences are searched in appropriate databases. The databases used to search the sequences of polypeptides are not the same databases used to search nucleic acid sequences. As such, it would be burdensome to search the inventions of Groups I and II together.

Since the invention of Group I includes a method of using the nucleic acid to make the polypeptide of group II, inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the polypeptide of Group II can be prepared by materially different processes, such as by chemical synthesis, or obtained from nature using various isolation and purification protocols.

The polypeptide of Group II and the antibody of Group III are patentably distinct for the following reasons:

While the inventions of both Group II and Group III are polypeptides, in this instance the polypeptide of Group II is a single chain molecule that functions as an cytokine, whereas the polypeptide of Group III encompasses antibodies including IgG which comprises 2 heavy and 2 light chains containing constant and variable regions, and including framework regions which act as a scaffold for the 6 complementarity determining regions (CDRs) that function to bind an epitope. Thus the polypeptide of Group II and the antibody of Group III are structurally distinct molecules; any relationship between a polypeptide of Group II and an antibody of Group III is dependent upon the correlation between the scope of the polypeptides that the antibody binds and the scope of the antibodies that would be generated upon immunization with the polypeptide.

In this case, the polypeptide of Group II could potentially contain numerous regions to which an antibody may bind, whereas the antibody of Group III is defined in terms of its binding specificity to a small structure within SEQ ID NO: 2. Therefore the polypeptide and antibody are patentably distinct. Moreover, searching the inventions of Group II and Group III would impose a serious search burden. The inventions have a separate status in the art as shown by their different classifications. A polypeptide and an antibody which binds to the polypeptide require different searches. An amino acid sequence search of the full-length protein is necessary for a determination of novelty and unobviousness of the protein. However, such a search is not required to identify the antibodies of Group III. Furthermore, antibodies which bind to an epitope of a polypeptide of Group II may be known even if a polypeptide of Group II is novel. In

addition, the technical literature search for the polypeptide of Group II and the antibody of Group III are not coextensive, e.g., antibodies may be characterized in the technical literature prior to discovery of or sequence of their binding target.

The nucleic acid of Group I and the antibody of Group III are patentably distinct for the following reasons. The antibody of Group III includes, for example, IgG molecules which comprise 2 heavy and 2 light chains containing constant and variable regions, and including framework regions which act as a scaffold for the 6 complementarity determining regions (CDRs). Polypeptides, such as the antibody of Group II which are composed of amino acids, and nucleic acid, which are composed of nucleic acids, are structurally distinct molecules; any relationship between a nucleic acid and polypeptide is dependent upon the information provided by the nucleic acid sequence open reading frame as it corresponds to the primary amino acid sequence of the encoded polypeptide. In the present claims, a nucleic acid of Group I will not encode an antibody of Group III, and the antibody of Group III cannot be encoded by a nucleic acid of Group I. Therefore the antibody and nucleic acid are patentably distinct. The antibody and nucleic acid inventions have a separate status in the art as shown by their different classifications. Furthermore, searching the inventions of Group I and Group III would impose a serious search burden since a search of the nucleic acid of Group I would not be used to determine the patentability of an antibody of Group III, and vice-versa.

Having shown that these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and

recognized divergent subject matter as defined by MPEP § 808.02, the Examiner has *prima facie* shown a serious burden of search (see MPEP § 803). Therefore, an initial requirement of restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

2. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

***Advisory Information:***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fozia M. Hamud whose telephone number is (571) 272-0884. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Thursday-Friday, 6:00 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda G. Brumback can be reached on (571) 272-0961. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Fozia Hamud  
Patent Examiner  
Art Unit 1647  
23 July 2006

  
BRENDA BRUMBACK  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600